

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,122	05/04/2001	Donald E. Ackley	264/037	1952
34263	7590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER	
O'MELVENY & MEYERS			MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
IRVINE, CA	CA, SUITE 100 A 92618		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
,			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/849,122	ACKLEY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Ardin Marschel	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio			
Period for Reply			Ì.
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory property of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a non. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 August 2004.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un		•	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content. 	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date) Paper No(s)	Mail Date primal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed 8/9/04, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 7-14, 17, and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhao et al. (P/N 5,962,856); taken in view of Spivey et al. (P/N 5,528,043).

This rejection is reiterated and maintained from the previous office action, mailed 2/5/04, and as necessitated by amendment regarding newly submitted claims which are also rejected hereinunder. Applicants firstly argue that output current control is the

Art Unit: 1631

circuit invention and pointed to Figure 9 for a depiction of one way to implement the claimed invention. In response the Figure 9 depiction simply indicates the electronic control of rows and columns in an array sensor as does the above combination of Zhao et al. taken with Spivey et al. Applicants emphasize "two" sets of row and column transistors and selectors. The instant claims are limited to "two" each of such items and thus the plurality of rows and columns in both Zhao et al. and Spivey et al. for row and column selection via corresponding transistors still is deemed to suggest and motivate the practice of instant invention embodiments. Such electronic elements operate via electrical phenomena which are all related as voltage and current for sensing, control, etc. Applicants further argue that two supplies, two sets of column selectors, two sets of row selectors, and transistors are claimed. In response two of said circuit elements are minimally required in the instant claim embodiments which also includes more than two such elements as already discussed above as being set forth in the cited combination of references. This argument therefore is non-persuasive. Specifically regarding the two power supplies, again multiple sources of power are also included as instant claim embodiments and this is reasonably present in that each transistor in Spivey et al. is a separate unit and must have its own electrical connection as a power supply. The Spivey et al. overall power supply connects to all of these transistor power input supplies via electrical connections or supplies as the branches on a tree. Each branch supplies electrical power, voltage, etc. to each transistor. This same arrangement is shown in applicants' pointed to Figure 9 where a current source supplies electrical

Art Unit: 1631

current which, of necessity is driven by a voltage and its corresponding power supply characteristics.

CLAIMS OBJECTIONS

Claims 6 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

No claim is allowed.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

Art Unit: 1631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 30, 2004

Audin Williams 1 11/20/04